

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(1999) KLR VOL 9/10 PART 90 pp. 2627 - 2806

SEPTEMBER/OCTOBER 1999

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Madubonwu v. Nnalue p. 2627
2. Attamah v. The Anglican Bishop of the Niger p. 2643
3. Mba v. Agu p. 2655
4. Kulobo v. Ikuomola p. 2681
5. Ogundele v. Fasu p. 2689
6. Nwokoro v. Onuma p. 2715
7. Emiantor v. The Nigerian Army p. 2743
8. Omini v. The State p. 2757

OCTOBER 1999

Anoghalu v. Oraelosi p. 2787

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1999) 9/10 KLR**

ACTIONS - Cause of action - Is the entire set of facts - Giving rise to an enforceable claim. *Emiantor v. The Nigerian Army* p. 2743

ACTIONS - Parties - Appeals - Contention that 4th defendant did not appeal in this matter - Is of no substance - Since all the defendants jointly appealed. *Attamah v. The Anglican Bishop of the Niger* p. 2643

APPEALS - Appellate Court - Duty of - An appellate Court is to see whether issues presented to the trial court - Were properly resolved - It will not allow a party to improve on his case on appeal. *Nwokoro v. Onuma* p. 2715

APPEALS - Concurrent finding of fact - Special circumstance - That will impel the Supreme Court - To interfere with concurrent findings. *Nwokoro v. Onuma* p. 2715

APPEALS - Court of Appeal - Powers - Argument that the Court erroneously interfered - With the judgment of the trial court - For such argument to succeed - It must be shown that the court exceeded the powers given to it - Under S. 16 of the Court of Appeal Act, 1976. *Mba v. Agu* p. 2655

APPEALS - Evidence - Pleadings - Issue - Evidence which is contrary to the issue joined at the trial - Goes to no issue. *Nwokoro v. Onuma* p. 2715

APPEALS - Finality of a decision - Court of Appeal's decision in this matter is final - Though the High Court's decision was interlocutory - So that time to appeal is within 3 months. *Anoghalu v. Oraelosi* p. 2787

APPEALS - Ground - Incompetence - Where no particulars of error were supplied - In a ground of appeal - The ground is incompetent. *Nwokoro v. Onuma* p. 2715

APPEALS - Ground of appeal - Particulars - Complaint given in it - Are

not mere addendum - It forms part of the ground of appeal. *Mba v. Agu* p. 2655

APPEALS - Ground of appeal - That discloses no reasonable ground of appeal - Should be struck out. *Anoghalu v. Oraelosi* p. 2787

APPEALS - Ground of fact - Leave not having been obtained -Ground 1 was rightly struck out. *Anoghalu v. Oraelosi* p. 2787

APPEALS - Injunction - Right of appeal where an injunction is granted or refused - Avails the defendants in this case. *Attamah v. The Anglican Bishop of the Niger* p. 2643

APPEALS - Judgment - Perverse decision - An appellate Court is under a duty to interfere - And reverse the decision of a trial court - Which is perverse. *Mba v. Agu* p. 2655

APPEALS - Land law - Concurrent findings of fact - As to the location of the land that was granted - Having sufficient evidence to support them - The findings will not be disturbed by the Supreme Court. *Kulobo v. Ikuomola* p. 2681

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Appeal as of right - S. 220(1) of the 1979 Constitution - The present appeal is as of right under the section. *Attamah v. The Anglican Bishop of the Niger* p. 2643

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Judgment - Prescribed period - For delivery of judgments - Under section 258 of the 1979 Constitution - It is not sufficient to establish a contravention of Constitutional provision - It must be established that miscarriage of justice has been occasioned. *Ogundele v. Fasu* p. 2689

COURTS - Judgment - Appeal - Native Courts Proceedings - Where a trial court failed to advert to the principle - That Native Courts are not bound

vi **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1999) 9/10 KLR**

by the technical rules of procedure - Which govern trials in the Courts of Record - The Court of Appeal was right to have interfered - With the judgment of the trial Court. Mba v. Agu p. 2655

ESTOPPEL - Res judicata - Plea of - Duties of a court - Before which a judgment is tendered in support of a plea - Of Estoppel per rem judicatam Mba v. Agu p. 2655

CRIMINAL LAW - Manslaughter - Failure to exercise sufficient care - Where the shooting which resulted in the death of the deceased - Was as a result of failure to exercise sufficient care by the appellant - The action comes within the purview of s. 317 of the Criminal Code. Omini v. The State p. 2757

CRIMINAL LAW - Manslaughter - Unlawful killing - Which does not constitute murder - Is manslaughter. Omini v. The State p. 2757

CRIMINAL LAW - Murder - Ingredients that must be proved - Before a person charged with murder under s. 319 of the Criminal Code - Can be convicted. Omini v. The State p. 2757

CRIMINAL LAW - Murder - Insufficient proof - Where the offence of murder was not proved - The appellant can be convicted of manslaughter - Since the disregard of his legal duty to take care - Resulted in the death of the deceased. Omini v. The State p. 2757

CRIMINAL LAW - Murder - Unlawful killing - When it is unlawful to kill a human being. Omini v. The State p. 2757

CRIMINAL LAW - Unlawful killing - Reasonable care - Use of - In carrying out a lawful duty - Which is dangerous to life - Under s. 303 of the Criminal Code - Is inapplicable in the case of a member of the Mobile Police Unit - Checking motorists on the road. Omini v. The State p. 2757

EVIDENCE - Evaluation - Inconsistency in evidence - Where a witness is shown to have made previous statements - Inconsistent with the one given at the trial - Such evidence should be regarded as unreliable - And the previous statements do not constitute evidence - Upon which the court can act. *Omini v. The State* p. 2757

EVIDENCE - Witnesses - Contradictions - In the evidence of witnesses called by the same party - How the courts treat such contradictions. *Nwokoro v. Onuma* p. 2715

INJUNCTIONS - Ex parte injunctions - Are for cases of real emergency or urgency - Balance of convenience in this case - Was not on the plaintiffs' side. *Attamah v. The Anglican Bishop of the Niger* p. 2643

INJUNCTIONS - Interim order of injunction - Having expired or lapsed - There was nothing to refuse or sustain after that date. *Attamah v. The Anglican Bishop of the Niger* p. 2643

INJUNCTIONS - Interlocutory injunction - Application for it - Damages of N100.00 ordered by trial court - If plaintiffs' application proves to be frivolous - Cannot be called an undertaking to pay damages. *Attamah v. The Anglican Bishop of the Niger* p. 2643

JUDGMENTS - Error - Inspection of locus in quo - Error committed by the trial judge - In referring in his judgment to certain facts - Observed by him in the inspection - Of which there was no evidence on record - Circumstance when it would not vitiate the decision. *Ogunde v. Fasun* p. 2689

JUDGMENTS - Prescribed period - Miscarriage of justice - That is relevant in regard to section 258 (4) of the 1979 Constitution - Is miscarriage of justice suffered by the party complaining - By reason of contravention of that section. *Ogunde v. Fasun* p. 2689

viii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (1999) 9/10 KLR

LAND LAW - Evidence - Acts of possession - Inference - Under S. 46 of the Evidence Act - When a court is entitled to draw the inference. *Nwokoro v. Onuma* p. 2715

LAND LAW - Title - Evaluation of evidence of witnesses and exhibits - Was meticulously done by the trial court - And confirmed by the Court of Appeal - In finding for the plaintiffs. *Madubunwu v. Nnalue* p. 2627

LAND LAW - Title - Foundation - As a result of previous Supreme Court Judgment - Plaintiff have no title they could pass to defendant - The foundation on which they built their case having collapsed. *Kulobo v. Ikuomola* p. 2681

LAND LAW - Title - Pleadings and admissions - Plaintiffs proved their title - Vide evidence of traditional history - In the light of the pleadings and admissions. *Madubunwu v. Nnalue* p. 2627

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Plaintiff not to rely on weakness of defendant's case - But he is entitled to take advantage of defence evidence - Which tends to support his case. *Madubunwu v. Nnalue* p. 2627

LAND LAW - Traditional evidence - Findings of the courts below thereon - Is justified. *Madubunwu v. Nnalue* p. 2627

LIMITATION OF ACTIONS - Statute bar - Action for damages for libel - Filed after the period of over 6 years - Is statute barred. *Emiantor v. The Nigerian Army* p. 2743

LIMITATION OF ACTIONS - Torts - Damages for libel - Action filed after the period of 6 years - Cannot be enforced - Because the Statute of Limitation removes the right. *Emiantor v. The Nigerian Army* p. 2743

MURDER - Proof - Intentional killing - Where the killing resulting from the act of the appellant was not intended - His act does not fall within the

provisions of s. 316 of the Criminal Code - And cannot constitute murder.
Omini v. The State p. 2757

PLEADINGS - General traverse - Use of - When it is convenient and permissible - To use general traverse. Nwokoro v. Onuma p. 2715

PLEADINGS - Statement of defence - General traverse - Is not an effective denial - Of essential or material allegations. Nwokoro v. Onuma p. 2715

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Address - Locus in quo - Where the inspection took place before the parties addressed the court - Appellant's complaint - That they were deprived of their right to address the court after the inspection - Is not correct. Ogundele v. Fasu p. 2689

TORTS - Libel - Limitation period - Publication is what gives the cause of action - The date the plaintiff became aware of the libel about him - Is not relevant in the computation of period of limitation. Emiantor v. The Nigerian Army p. 2743

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1979, as amended; s. 258
Ogundele v. Fasu p. 2689

Criminal Code, ss. 303, 304 and 306 Omini v. The State p. 2757

Decree No. 17 of 1985 Ogundele v. Fasu p. 2689

Evidence Act, Cap 112 Laws of the Federation of Nigeria, 1990; s. 46
Nwokoro v. Onuma p. 2715; s. 77 (1) (ii) Ogundele v. Fasu p. 2689

High Court Rules, Vol. 4, Laws of Eastern Nigeria, 1963; O. 33 rr. 10 &
11 Nwokoro v. Onuma p. 2715

Limitation Law of Bendel State 1976 s. 4 (1) (a) Emiantor v. The Nigerian
Army p. 2743